

**MINUTES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT**

**June 21, 2002**

**DIVISION TWO**

Court convened at 9:00 AM

Present: Boren, P.J., Nott, J., Doi Todd, J., Ashmann-Gerst, J. and Jim Guzman, Deputy Clerk.

Each of the following:

B152599 People v. Gravesbey  
B150894 People v. Ruiz  
B152102 People v. Moore  
B147009 People v. Gipson  
B153553 DCFS v. Paola F. et al.  
B155354 DCFS v. Debra B.  
B150012 People v. Johnson  
B152158 People v. Thedford

Argument waived, cause submitted.

B151911     Los Angeles County, D.C.S.  
              v.  
              Karen C.

Merits:

Argued by John Dodd for appellant and by Lois Timnick for respondent.  
Cause submitted.

B152503     Sieg  
              v.  
              Wright

Merits:

Argued by Barry Orlyn for appellant and by Paul Carter for respondent.  
Cause submitted.

DIVISION TWO (Continued)

B150518     Ash  
              v.  
              Urban

Merits:

Argued by Kenneth Feldman for appellant and by Gerald MacRae for respondent. Cause submitted.

B148052     Kavajecz  
              v.  
              Imperial Premium Finance Inc.

Merits:

Argued by Richard Goodman for appellant and by James Chow for respondent. Cause submitted.

B153996     Kim  
              v.  
              First Federal Bank of California

Merits:

Argued by Wayne Pak Yee for appellant and by Victor Berwin for respondent. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

DIVISION THREE

B142409     John Staugaard                    (Not for Publication)  
              v.  
              Los Angeles Police Protective League, et al.

The judgment is affirmed.

Aldrich, J.

We concur:    Croskey, Acting P.J.  
                  Kitching, J.

### DIVISION THREE (Continued)

B152871      People                                  (Not for Publication)  
v.  
Devonneair J.

The judgment is affirmed.

Kitching, J.

We concur:   Croskey, Acting P.J.  
                  Aldrich, J.

B140919 Sharon M. Nielsen (Not for Publication)  
v.  
Rodney A. Nielsen

The judgment is affirmed. Sharon Nielsen is to recover her costs on appeal.

Kitching, J.

We concur: Klein, P.J.  
Croskey, J.

B152370 People  
v.  
Chance W.

Filed order modifying opinion. Petition for rehearing is denied. (No change in the judgment)

DIVISION FOUR

[illegible]

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

Epstein, Acting P.J.

We concur: Hastings, J.  
Curry, J.

B148311 People (Not for Publication)  
v.  
Wigginton

The judgment is affirmed.

Hastings, J.

We concur: Vogel (C.S.), P.J.  
Curry, J.

B142850      Lisa's Bon Appetit      (Not for Publication)  
v.  
Guy E. Maggio, Inc.

The summary judgment entered in favor of GEM is reversed. Appellant Lisa's to recover costs on appeal.

Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

We concur: Hastings, J.  
Curry, J.

DIVISION FOUR (Continued)

B149682      Gilharry-Jones                      (Not for Publication)  
                 v.  
                 Robson Lourd De Souza et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Respondents are to have their costs on appeal.

Epstein, Acting P.J.

We concur:    Hastings, J.  
                 Curry, J.

B151542      Marla Harding                      (Certified for Publication)  
                 v.  
                 Michael S. Harding

The dismissal order is affirmed. Costs on appeal are awarded to respondent.

Hastings, J.

We concur:    Epstein, Acting P.J.  
                 Curry, J.

B128914      Roseanne Hooker                      (Not for Publication)  
                 v.  
                 California Department of Transportation

The Court:

The judgment entered on November 6, 1998, in favor of the California Department of Transportation is affirmed. The parties to bear their own costs on appeal.

Vogel (C.S.), P.J., Epstein, J., Curry, J.

## DIVISION FOUR (Continued)

B141976 People (Not for Publication)

V.

Rodriguez and Frontuto

The judgments are affirmed.

Hastings, J.

We concur:   Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

Curry, J.

## DIVISION FIVE

B150066 People (Not for Publication)

V.

# Dale Ray Jones

The judgment is affirmed. The superior court clerk is directed to amend the abstract of judgment to reflect that the mid-term sentence of 5 years was imposed and stayed as to count 4 and to state that a \$2,000 parole restitution fine was imposed. The superior court clerk is further directed to forward the amended abstract of judgment to the Department of Corrections.

Turner, P.J.

We concur: Grignon, J.

Armstrong, J.

B147025 Helene Singer

v.

Jeffrey Singer and Michael Singer

Filed order denying petition for rehearing.